

CONTRACT AWARD INFORMATION – CONSULTANCY SERVICES
PLACENCIA PENINSULA WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT
(NUTRIENT FATE AND TRANSPORT STUDY)

1. **Summary of Scores:**

Names of Shortlisted Consultants	Country	Technical Proposal Scores (TS)						Financial Proposal Prices		Total Combined Score	Final Rank
		Specific Experience 10%	Methodology 40%	Key Experts 40%	Knowledge Transfer (N/A) %	National Experts Participation 10%	Total 100%	Submitted Price (US\$)	Evaluated Price (US\$)		
TYPSA Group	Spain	8.4	30.2	33.2	N/A	5.4	77.2	2,457,356.59	2,457,356.59	66.82	2
CBCL Ltd.	Canada	8.0	30.0	33.0	N/A	4.4	75.4	582,956.00	582,956.00	80.32	1
CEAC Solutions Co. Ltd.	Jamaica	6.2	25.2	28.2	N/A	4.8	64.4	TS less than min. score of 75- No financial evaluation.		None	None
Fichtner GmbH & Co. KG	Germany	Withdrew – Did Not Submit Proposals								Not Applicable (N/A)	
Dillon Consulting Ltd.	Canada	Withdrew – Did Not Submit Proposals								Not Applicable	
Smith Warner International	Jamaica	Withdrew – Did Not Submit Proposals								Not Applicable	

2. **Name of Successful Consultant:** **CBCL Ltd.**

3. **Successful Proposal’s Price:** **US\$899,098.00**

4. **Commencement Date of Contract:** **May 23, 2018**

5. **Duration of Contract:** **Eighteen (18) Months**

6. **Summary Scope of Contract:** Develop a comprehensive model to predict the transport and fate of nutrients and fecal bacteria in the Placencia Lagoon and surrounding coastal Caribbean Sea off the Placencia Peninsula. Diffused (non-point) sources and selected point sources corresponding to potential sites for the proposed Placencia Wastewater Treatment System will be accounted for in the model, including the potential impacts of climate change. Based on the model developed, the optimal locations for the sewerage treatment facility and final effluent disposal will be determined.

Notes: Method of Evaluation: Quality and Cost - Based Selection (QCBS)

Minimum Technical Proposal Score required to open Financial Proposal: 75

Mr. Alfonso Marshall
Project Manager
National Works Agency
JAMAICA, W.I.

August 30, 2007

The difference in the Evaluated Price and the Negotiated Contract Price is as a consequence of the increase in the level of effort negotiated to develop a more robust 3D groundwater modelling program and increasing the area for an order-1 Bathymetry survey.